UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/893,240 | 06/26/2001 | Jessica M. Barnes | 10420/17 | 4905 | | |
| | 7590 01/13/200 ER GILSON & LIONE | EXAMINER | | | | |
| P.O. BOX 1039 CHICAGO, IL | | SALIARD, SHANNON S | | | | |
| CITICAGO, IL | 00010 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3628 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 01/13/2009 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--------------------|---------------|--|
| 09/893,240 | BARNES ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| SHANNON S. SALIARD | 3628 | |

| | SHANNO | ON S. SALIARD | 3628 | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on th | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>18 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICA | ATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1 eal (with a _l | an amendment, affidavitopeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the | final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | Advisory Act ater than SI | on, or (2) the date set forth i X MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | (f). | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and shortened s than three | the corresponding amount of atutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | oliance with | 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | iled within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion there | of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | but prior to | the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov | • | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | tter form fo | r appeal by materially red | lucing or simplifying tl | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | correspond | ling number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See at | ached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (l | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allown-allowable claim(s). | lowable if | submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-14</u> . | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome g | <u>ll</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | - | Per 6 II | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/0 | 8) Paper No(s) | | |
| /John W Hayes/ | SI | nannon S Saliard | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628 | Pi | imary Examiner t Unit: 3628 | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the combined references of record do not teach "electronically inspecting contents within baggage of the passenger, making a record of the electronic inspecting, entering the record into a computer memory, sending the record to the government or governmental agent, and routing the baggage in accordance with the procedure. However, the Examiner disagrees. Diamond teaches electronically scanning luggage, the digital photos stored on the bag are transmitted to the server, the baggage tag and boarding pass information are checked, and a notification is sent to security personnel [col 5, line 41- col 6, line 11].